



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,242	04/16/2004	Dai Yun Lee	065543-5040	7789
9629 7590 07/21/2009 MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				
EXAMINER				
CHOW, YUK				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/21/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/825,242

Applicant(s)

LEE ET AL.

Examiner

YUK CHOW

Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-39 and 43-50 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 40-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kasai (US 2003/0030602).

As to **claim 40**, Kasai discloses a method of driving an electro-luminescence display device having pixels at intersections between data lines and scan lines and including light-emitting cells driven with a current, the method comprising the steps of: sequentially sampling data signals applied to the data lines in a time interval when a scanning pulse is applied to the Nth scan line and storing them into a plurality of first sample holders (see Fig. 6(42)) and [0075]-[0077]); and

temporarily increasing a current flowing (see Fig. 26(600)) in the light-emitting cell largely using the data signals stored in the plurality of first sample holders in a time interval when the scanning pulse is applied to the (N+1)th scan line (see [0139]).

As to **claim 41**, Kasai discloses the method according to claim 40, wherein the step of temporarily increasing the current flowing in the light-emitting cell largely includes:

pre-charging the currents flowing in the data line and the light-emitting cell in such a manner to be temporarily increased largely (see Fig. 19d(Qdm)).

As to **claim 42**, Kasai discloses the method according to claim 41, further comprising the steps of:

sequentially sampling the data signals applied to the data lines in a time interval when the scanning pulse is applied to the (N+1)th scan line to store them into a plurality of second sampling holders (see [0075]-[0077]); and

temporarily increasing a current flowing in the light-emitting cell (see Fig. 19d) largely using the data signals stored in the plurality of first sample holders in a time interval when the scanning pulse is applied to the Nth scan line (see [0139]).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1 and 4-13 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Cited reference does not teach limitations in claim 1: *"pixels provided between data lines and scan lines, each of the pixels including a light-emitting cell driven with a current; a current controller for temporarily increasing the current for subsequent driving of the light-emitting cell; a data driver to apply a data signal to the current controller; a light-emitting cell controller to control the current applied to the light-emitting cell; and a timing controller to apply the data signal to the data driver, and generating a first selection signal, a second selection signal, a third selection signal, a third selection signal, a fourth selection signal, a fifth selection signal, a sixth selection signal, a pre-charging selection signal and a pre-charging enable signal, wherein the current controller includes: a plurality of current sample holder portions connected to the data driver and the data line, and sampling the data signal from the data driver; and a*

plurality Of pre-charging current suppliers connected between supply voltage lines and the data lines to apply a pre-charging current to the data lines."

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 03/17/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
5. Regarding claim 40, applicant argues that Kasai does not disclose any sample holder sampling data signal and storing the sample data signal into the sample holders. However, examiner respectfully disagrees. Since there is no further limitation on sample holder in claim 40, figure 6(42) of Kasai reads on this limitation, based on broadest reasonable interpretation of sample holder, the six driving transistors holds the gain coefficient data, therefore, they could be viewed as sample holders.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YUK CHOW whose telephone number is (571)270-1544. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-6 M-TH E.T..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on 571 272-7674. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Y. C./
Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Amare Mengistu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629